

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

				<u></u>
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FAN FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	J	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		1111		

QM22/0503

JIANHUA FAN 5800 MAUDINA AVENUE, #C2 NASHVILLE MI 37209

MOY, JEXAMINER

ART: UNIT7

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s) RAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit 3727
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply	3
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a lift NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•
☐ This action is FINAL.	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
(Claim(s) 8, 10, 11, 20 + 2/	is/are pending in the application.
- (is/are withdrawn from consideration
· ·	
□ Claim(s) 8 (5,11, 20 + 2)	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received.	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. iected to by the Examiner. vunder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ *Certi	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. ving Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. jected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been inber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No._____

Serial Number: 08/990195

Art Unit: 3727

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis

for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in

this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or

on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8,10,11 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated

by Carson (Des 352,480) or French 649568 or Moskowitz US 5638638. All the references show

all the structure of the device as recited by the claims.

Claims 8,10,11,20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey

to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. "The fluid absorbing material cannot drop outone leg"

is not supported by the original specification.

Any inquiry concerning this office action will be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, (703)

308-1145. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging

faxing of responses in Office Actions directly into the group at (703) 305-3579 or (703) 305-

3580. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for

filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a USPTO deposit

account. Please identify the examiner and the art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing e.g. copies of references cited, from PTO-1449, form PTO-892., etc requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703)30-4005.

Date: 04/12/2001

Joseph M. Moy " Primary Examiner